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Purpose of this talk

• Understand weeds and their interactions with the agroecosystem

• So you can make the best use of the tools and techniques available to you

• More practical info from Nicola and Mike to follow 😊
The future of weed management is **coexistence**: the “war on weeds” is futile

- The weeds will always win
- We’re undermining our farmland
- We’re taking everything else down with us
Right … but weeds compete with my crops?

• **Not always as much as you might think**

• They also provide other benefits (to you and to the environment)
  – habitat for natural enemies, pollinators and wildlife
  – soil health/nutrient cycling/microbes

• When is the cost of getting rid of weeds (effort, money, loss of eco-function) more than the cost of having weeds?
Yield loss: not all weeds are equal

- Evidence from Rothamsted: Storkey and Neve 2018, Weed Research

Yield loss assessed by comparing herbicide-free plots to herbicide plots
Yield loss: not all weeds are equal

- Recent study from France
  - Adeux et al 2019, Nature Sustainability

- Different cropping systems in long-term experiment have led to different weed communities

- Compared unweeded and ‘zero weeds’ plots
Yield loss: not all weeds are equal

• Across all weed communities:
  – Crop yield declined by 30% in unveeded plots (expected)

• Between six distinct weed communities, in unveeded plots
  – Four weed communities decreased yields (20-55%)
  – Two communities had no effect on yields (0%)
  – Yield loss decreased with weed diversity
  – Yield loss was not strongly related to weed density
  – Yield loss was highest in communities dominated by blackgrass and cleavers, and lowest with speedwell or field pansy + diversity
For yield loss, the question is not “how weedy is the field?” but “which weeds are there, and how many different species?”

The same question is important to ecosystem function and biodiversity support.

**We want:**

Farming systems that are **resistant** to outbreaks of problematic weeds but that are capable of **fostering** a diverse weed community to sustain ecosystem services.
How do we get there?

• Most yield loss from weeds is caused by competition for resources: light, nutrients, water

• The most competitive weeds are those that are either or both:
  – very similar to the crop
  – faster to access resources than the crop

• Systems should suppress competitive species while favouring diversity
what not to do!
1. try to avoid consistently penalising weeds for being different to the crop

selective control eliminates weeds that have different metabolisms or growth forms

disadvantage weeds that use alternate resources, or are more stress tolerant

eliminate weeds that seed after harvest

sowing
fertiliser, irrigation
harvest
2. try to avoid doing the same thing(s) every year

- eliminate weeds that seed after harvest
- selective control eliminates weeds that have different metabolisms or growth forms
- disadvantage weeds that use alternate resources, or are more stress tolerant

- sowing
- fertiliser, irrigation
- harvest
3. try not to create an environment where the weeds’ only worry is resisting control

- no competition
- no stress
- no enemies (herbivores or seed predators)
- no pathogens
- lots of weed biomass
- lots of weed seed
- easier to adapt to control

sowing a poorly competitive cultivar

fertiliser, irrigation

pesticides

fungicides

harvest
Key points

• Repetitive, strong control efforts remove diversity whilst promoting resistant weeds that mimic and compete with the crop

• A resource-rich, enemy-free environment helps weeds survive control and adapt to it
What to do instead?

• Four principles of ecological weed management (IWM+)

1. Increase diversity in all its forms
2. Use ‘many little hammers’ not ‘sledgehammers’
3. Reduce resource availability
4. Take advantage of the positive functions of weeds

• What, why & how
1. Increase diversity in all its forms

What?
- crops, management, livestock, habitats, microbes, insects, wildlife
- in time and space

Why?
- change the type and timing of practices each year so no weed species are consistently favoured
- crop diversity in the landscape limits space available and interrupts dispersal
- crop and habitat diversity promote natural enemies of weeds

How?
- crop rotation, intercropping, integrated crop-livestock, restore unfarmed habitat e.g. headlands, fencelines
2. ‘Little hammers’ not ‘sledgehammers’

What?
– don’t try to kill all the weeds at the same time, every time
– use multiple soft tactics that vary between years

Why?
– avoid creating strong selection pressure for hard-to-control, competitive, crop-mimicking weeds

How?
– ‘increase diversity in all its forms’
– particularly choose tactics that favour weeds that diverge from the crops, e.g. competitive crops
– precision control (narrow in both time and space!)
3. Minimise resource availability but maximise resource diversity

What?
- reduce the amount of ‘free’ light, nutrients and moisture
- increase the different types of nutrient available

Why?
- high resource availability selects for fast-growing weeds
- resource diversity allows weeds to diverge from the crop

How?
- fertiliser from organic matter (slow-release, more nutrient forms)
- precision fertiliser placement and irrigation
- competitive crops and crop mixes, mulches/residues
4. Take advantage of the positive effects of weeds

What?
— weeds can help to maintain soil health and support beneficial insects and microbes, and to prevent erosion and leaching

Why?
— why not?

How?
— manage for a diverse weed community
— allow the right weeds to act as spontaneous catch crops and intercrops
Key points

• The future of weed management is co-existence

• Aim for farming systems that are resistant to outbreaks of problematic weeds but that are capable of fostering a diverse weed community

• Follow these four principles of weed management:
  – Increase diversity in all its forms
  – ‘Little hammers’ not ‘sledgehammers’
  – Reduce resource availability
  – Take advantage of the positive effects of weeds
Thanks for listening!
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A vision for the opportunities for precision non-chemical weed management in 2050 and beyond
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## Scale of precision available in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical options</th>
<th>Non-chemical options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>Easily achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>The normal management however now facing challenges of chemical resistance, product withdrawal and environmental pressures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site specific</td>
<td>Achievable through either satellite, drone, manual scouting mapping and zoned spray applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant specific</td>
<td>A range of systems are under development but few are commercially available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf specific</td>
<td>Under development but not available commercially</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mechanical weeding in narrow-spaced crops

• Currently crop competition is the most important method for controlling intra-row weeds
• Row width important
• weed harrowing works by soil coverage and uprooting of weed plants
• depends on a size difference between crop and weed plants; the crop needs to be larger and more firmly anchored than the weeds
• Options of cutting the crop with knives
Robot-assisted intra-row weeding in row crops

- Some crops more susceptible to yield loss than others
- Most effective when weeds are small
- Intelligent weeding has many benefits over the non-intelligent tools
  - more hours of operation (operation is even possible at night time),
  - easier to implement in practice,
  - less risk of crop injury, only one operator is needed,
  - more flexibility in treatment timing in relation to weed growth stage

- Needed in direct sown crops as well as transplants
Precision tractor and implement implementation

Three main types of:
- Steerable axles/wheels on mounted/semi-mounted implements;
- Installation of side-shift frames between tractor and implement; and
- Side-shiftable lower links of a tractor.

Require:
- GNSS guidance system achieving Differential (DGPS) or RTK accuracy
- Very precise hydraulic flotation through electrical SCV’s allow precise steering of the implements
- Multiple GNSS-signals or camera-based, row detection information must be computed by the tractor’s own computer system and the separate components and systems must be compatible
High definition weed mapping and site specific weeding

• Weed patches need to be mapped and geo-referenced
• Image capture and analysis are frequently used for weed sensing and mapping.
  – Light intensity at the time of image capture can effect performance and the ability to discriminate weed and crop plants due to changes in the red, green and blue components of the light.
• The need for the farmer to purchase such technology can be over-stated!
  – Start up businesses
  – Free EU Sentinel satellite service
• Easy to automate 80% of a process but even though the last 20% may be technically feasible, it may not be an economic proposition.
• **Ground truthing essential**
Single plant detection and non-chemical weed control

• Drone and satellite imaging are not yet accurate enough
  – Error build up in positioning and image rectification
• Weed location and weed species is required
  – weed growth stage and leaf biomass needed
• The root positions are mostly unknown from camera detection
• Methods of destruction after detection
  – electrocution,
  – laser cutting or damaging,
  – defoliation by cutting,
  – mechanical damaging,
  – push into the ground or punch,
  – pull the weed
• Requires hyperspectral cameras with resolution of approx. 1 mm²
• EXPENSIVE!
Conclusions

• Systems need to be designed around weed control
  – Drilling row widths
  – Technology enabled machinery
• Weed and crop size are important
• The more precise, the more expensive!
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

Maple Farm Kelsale, Suffolk

350 acres
Arable, Veg
Layer hens
Silvopastoral
Agroforestry
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

• Principle One: **Bury**

  - Bury, Smother
  - Everything from a spade to a plough
  - Good for controlling annuals and some perennials

Includes mulches
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

- Principle Two: Sun

- From hand weeding through to most types of mechanical weeding
- Good for annual and perennial weed control
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

• Principle Two: **Sun**

  Thermal weeding

  Cover with Mulch and allow the Sun to heat

  Don’t disturb the top and it can be weed free for months
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

- Principle Three: **Frost**
  - Kills Annuals
  - Lowers Soil Temperature
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

• Principle Four: **Competition**

Out compete the weeds

Under sowing with clover
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

- Principle Four: **Competition**
  - Vigorous varieties
  - Allelopathic properties
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

• Principle Four: Competition

Vetch & Rye
The Four Principles of Weed Control in Organic Growing

1. Sun
2. Bury
3. Frost
4. Competition